

## IN THE BEGINNING (WHICH) GOD?

“Who exchanged the truth of God for the life, and worshiped and served the creature rather than the Creator...” (Romans 1:25).

Geissler points out that evolutionists frequently say things about natural selection like, it “designed” or our survival or “arranged” for the continuance of life. That grants intelligence to a nonintelligent process. From the beginning I have stated that there is no such thing as creation science, since the origin can’t be observed, and it can’t be replicated. We are left to the methods of forensic science to look at the evidence from the scene of “the crime” which should satisfy the evolutionists who see God as joke or an imposter foisted on the likes of us humans who do not know better. The truth is, evolution (natural selection) is a god, no more and no less. It is no different that the gods of the pagans, who without evidence, attributed deity to whatever satisfies the needs of human life. Evolutionists, however, don’t believe in idols. They have left out the middle-man. They are satisfied to believe that what can only be designed and provided by intelligence can be brought about through natural selection. Most evolutionists will not admit this, but Charles Darwin did. Geissler says Darwin referred to Natural Selection to “my deity.” To Charles Darwin, natural selection produced the good of adaption but removed the need for design. He could not see the work of an omnipotent deity in all the pain and suffering. He thought religion was a tribal strategy, and disregarded a belief in in the existence of God as a First cause. He went so far as to say that science has nothing to do with Christ, , except insofar as the habit of scientific research makes a man cautious in admitting evidence. “For myself, I do not believe that there ever has been any revelation. As for a future life, every man must judge for himself... I have no argument with this last statement. “Choose for yourselves...whom you will serve” (Joshua 24:15).