

WHAT IS MAN (PART V)

Natural selection begs the question of purpose. And purpose requires design. Is there really a case to be made that every biological organism from insect to man all came from the same cell? Is it logical to conclude by observation (which science is supposed to do) that a non-living cell can produce life. Yet, we are supposed to give a free pass to those who teach the theory of evolution, as if there is no sensible reason to ask the question. Dr. Simmons asks a question. "We know that lungfish can breathe air – so why couldn't fish have come ashore and evolved into amphibians?" He then adds, Fossil evidence indicates that a select group of dinosaurs had feathers – so why couldn't they, given enough time, have evolved into birds? Monkeys certainly resemble humans. Every mammal has a heart, lungs, kidneys, and a gastrointestinal tract – we all eat, sleep, drink, and mate in mostly similar ways. Why not have had a common ancestor?" Biology textbooks still display the parade of fish to amphibian to reptile to mammal to monkey to man. We've all see them. The truth is, no true transitional forms have been discovered, yet the theory of evolution marches on, and oddly it makes sense to many people. There is only one reason that person could objectively look at the fossil evidence and conclude that man evolved from any form lower than himself. They "exchanged the truth of God for the lie, and worshipped and served the creature rather than the Creator..." (Romans 1:25).